

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/991,379	91,379 11/15/2001		John Joseph Mascavage III	020375-002710US	2669	
20350	7590	03/06/2003	•			
		WNSEND AN	EXAMINER			
EIGHTH FL			CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E			
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3628		
				DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N	٠. ا	Applicant(s)		
Offic A		Andina O	09/991,379	.	MASCAVAGE ET AL.		
	Οπις	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		1/
			Siegfried E C	hencinski	3628		1/
Peri d f	r Reply	LING DATE of this c mmunication ap	ppears on the co	ver shet with the	rrespondence ac	ddress	
- External control con	MAILING Lensions of time results of time results (6) MONTE period for replayers to reply within reply received by	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely y is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- by the Office later than three months after the mailinal adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ine SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunicatior	n.
Status 1)⊠	Posnono	ivo to communication (-) Etc. 1					
		ive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	-	_			
2a)□			his action is non				
3) Dispositi	Since this closed in ion of Clai	s application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under ms	ance except for Ex parte Quay	formal matters, pr le, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	e merits i	is
4)🖂	Claim(s)	1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
		above claim(s) is/are withdra		eration.			
		is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1	-20 is/are rejected.			•		
l .		is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) _ on Papers	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.			
9) 🗆 🗆	The specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	er.				
1		g(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		cted to by the Exan	niner.		
		may not request that any objection to th					
11) 🔲 7		ed drawing correction filed on				er.	
		d, corrected drawings are required in re					
12) 🔲 7	The oath or	declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowled	lgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority under (35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐	Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certi	ified copies of the priority document	s have been red	eived.			
	2.☐ Certi	ified copies of the priority document	s have been rec	eived in Applicatio	n No		
	а	es of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ched detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage	
ł		ment is made of a claim for domesti				annlicatio	nn)
a)	☐ The tra	inslation of the foreign language proment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applica	tion has been rece	ived.	аррпсацо	111 <i>)</i> .
Attachm nt(o priority under	00 0.0.0. 39 120 8	ariu/Oi IZI.		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of Reference of Draftspers ation Disclosu	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap r No(s) <u>6</u>	4)	Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s tent Application (PTO	s) -152)	
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Office Ac	tion Summary		Part of	Paper No. 7	

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Objections

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- (1) Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 09/991,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 3628

(i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).

- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Applicant has failed to include two of the required sections listed above,

- 1) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98, and
 - 2) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

(2) Typographical and Grammatical Errors in the Specifications

- Page one, lines 18-19: This is an exact duplicate of and redundancy with the sentence on lines 16-17 (Where the customer is handed off).
- Page one, line 24: this line contains a grammatical error. The preposition "a" should be "an", since it precedes a vowel.
- The examiner recommends that applicant put the entire specification through a spell checker to find additional errors and correcting such errors.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 3628

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-7, 9-15 & 36-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilf et al (US Patent 5,899,980).

Re. Claims 1, 10 & 17, Wilf anticipates a method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between a customer and a vendor site/merchant system, the method comprising steps of:

receiving transaction information from the vendor site;

automatically opening a new web browser window for the customer;

presenting a transaction amount in the new web browser window, whereby the customer can assent to the transaction amount through interaction with the new web browser window;

receiving authorization from the customer of a debit for the transaction amount, wherein the debit corresponds to the online purchase; and notifying the vendor site of authorization (Col. 2, lines 3-15).

Re. Claims 2 & 18, Wilf anticipates the method for authorizing the online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claim 1 & 17, wherein the new web browser window points away from the vendor site (Col. 2, lines 26-34).

Re. Claims 3 & 11, Wilf anticipates the method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claim 1 & 10, further comprising a step of receiving account information from the customer corresponding to an account authorized for the debit (Col. 2, lines 34-47).

Re. Claims 4 & 12, Wilf anticipates the method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claim 1 &

22003

Application/Control Number: 09/991,379 Page 5

Art Unit: 3628

10, wherein the new web browser window overlays an existing web browser window of the vendor site (Col. 2, lines 3-5, 47-51).

Re. Claims 5, 13 & 19, Wilf anticipates the method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claim 1, 10 & 17, wherein the receiving transaction information step triggers the automatically opening step (Col. 2, lines 3-15).

Re. Claims 6, 14 & 20, Wilf anticipates the method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claim 1, 10 & 17, further comprising a step of transferring payment to an account associated with the vendor site after authorization is received (Col. 7, lines 45-57).

Re. Claims 7 & 15, Wilf anticipates the method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claim 1 & 10, further comprising a step of presenting a message to the customer in the new web browser window indicating at least one of the following:

that authorization was canceled by the customer; that authorization was rejected by a funds transfer system; and that authorization completed normally (Col. 7, line 58 – Col. 9, line 20).

Re. Claim 9, Wilf anticipates a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the computer-implementable method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site of claim 1 (Col. 1, line 63 – Col. 2, line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3628

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8 & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilf in view of Kolling et al (US Patent 5,920,847).

Re. Claims 8 & 16, Wilf does not disclose a method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claims 1 and 10, wherein the notifying step comprises a step of determining that a notification message was not received by the vendor site within a predetermined time period. However, Kolling discloses a method for authorizing and checking out from an online purchase between the customer and the vendor site as recited in claims 1 and 10, wherein the notifying step comprises a step of determining that a notification message was not received by the vendor site within a predetermined time period (Col. 37, lines 2-8). It would have been obvious for an ordinary practitioner of the art at the time of applicant's invention to have combined the disclosure of Kolling with the disclosures of Wilf to establish an automated purchasing method which included a time limit for notifying a vendor of payment approval for an automated transaction in order to protect a vendor from undue delay in verifying such a transaction.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Siegfried Chencinski whose telephone number is 703-305-6199. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough, can be reached on 703-308-0505.

Art Unit: 3628

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-8177

[Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

SEC

February 27, 2003

Hyung-Sub Sough Primary Examiner